# PROTEGE 3.XVS 4.X

What are the differences

# Differences

- Protege 3.x
  - Protege-Frames
  - OWL 1.0:
    - OWL and RDF
    - Protege-OWL API
  - SPARQL support
  - SWRL
  - Plugin framework developed at Stanford
  - UI pretty much customizable

#### Protege 4.x

- No Protege-Frames
- OWL 2.0:
  - OWL and RDF
  - OWL API. Faster and works more closely with OWL
- SPARQL support from 4.2 (Window -> View -> Query Views)
- SWRL
- Plugin framework uses OSGi (plugin standard framework)
- UI «everything» is customizable
- Other:
  - Other property types: Assymetric, Reflexive, Irreflexive

## Other

- Different reasoning engines. 3.x has DIG and 4.x has FaCT++ and HermiT (bundeled), though both support Pellet.
- The User Interface takes some time getting used to
- 4.x does not use project files, only OWL files

# Protege 4.2 – Close, but no cigar

### The good stuff:

- New plugins
- Onthology diff toll and merge tool
- Code generation tool
- Plugins and reasoning engine gives (me) minimal amount of conflicts

### The not so good stuff:

- It seems strange to have nessecary and efficient condigions under «Subclass Of»
- Data restriction creator does not support ranged values (the reasoner will throw exception)
- Object restriction does not show individuals
- All in all: there is still a lot of manual writing, and some things does not seem logical

## References

- Stanford compares Protege 3.x and 4.x: <a href="http://protegewiki.stanford.edu/wiki/Protege4Migration#Side\_by\_Side\_Comparison">http://protegewiki.stanford.edu/wiki/Protege4Migration#Side\_by\_Side\_Comparison</a>
- OWL 1.0: <a href="http://www.w3.org/2004/OWL/">http://www.w3.org/2004/OWL/</a>
- Owl 2.0: <a href="http://www.w3.org/2007/OWL/wiki/OWL\_Working\_Group">http://www.w3.org/2007/OWL/wiki/OWL\_Working\_Group</a>