2nd Annual review Florence 15 November 2013



Open Issues from the first Review Meeting

Responsible of the activity: nSHIELD Consortium Presenter: Andrea Fiaschetti – Univ. "La Sapienza"



Open issue #1 - CLOSED

Reference	lssue 1	Validity	all review	Status	closed
	Provide all deliv reviewers latest 2		•	•	
Due Date	2nd review				
	The Issue has be printed copy of reviewer	•		•	



Open issue #2 - CLOSED

Reference	Issue 2	Validity	all review	Status	closed		
	The project is ki the start of the re	•	• •	outs of the pr	esentations at		
Due Date	2nd review						
Answer	Printouts of the J	oresentations	provided at the	e beginning of	the meeting		



Open issue #5 - CLOSED

Reference	Issue 5	Validity	2nd review	Status	closed			
	oSHIELD D3.2 (p. 89ff) gives an excellent treatment of the CIAA properties							
	(Confidentiality,	Integrity, Aut	thenticity and	Availability).	However, two			
	additional prope	erties should a	also be handle	d (at least the	ought about in			
	this context): No	on-repudiation	and traceabili	ty. These prop	erties become			
	very important,	e.g. in the c	ase of a railw	ay accident w	vith dangerous			
	materials (= pilc	ot applications	s) when action	ns and decision	ns of different			
	parties need to l	be presented	to an enquiry (or to a court o	f law. nSHIELD			
Issue	should present a	decision on t	his topic.					
Due Date	2nd review							
Answer	For those applic	ations who re	quire non rep	udiation and t	traceability we			
	have methodolo	gies and mecl	nanisms (e.g. d	ligital signatur	es and tamper			
	proof audit logs)	ensuring the	provisioning o	f these qualitie	es.			
	However in cert	ain systems (e	e.g. Oil industr	y) other secur	ity parameters			
	(like latency) may	y prioritize otł	ner security me	echanisms				



Open issue #6 - ONGOING

Reference	lssue 6	Validity	2nd review	Status	ongoing			
	Recommendatio				· ·			
	"nSHIELD Textbo	ook" and pu	blish it with	a reputed p	ublisher (e.g.			
	Springer). This	textbook sho	uld be a con	nplete, compr	ehensive and			
	consistent tutorial, providing an easy and interesting entry into the							
Issue	nSHIELD world fo	or engineers ar	nd potential us	ers				
Due Date	2nd review							
Answer	A preliminary To	C started circu	lating					



Open issue #7 - CLOSED

Reference	lssue 7	Validity	2nd review	Status	closed	
	nSHIELD props	ses a number o	f fragmented (?) c	lemonstrators,	each showing	
	part of the nS	HIELD achiever	ments. nSHIELD sl	nould aim for	one (or more)	
	significantly la	rger and more	integrated demon	nstrator(s). Thi	is would prove	
	the integration	n efforts of the	e consortium and	contribute to	the ARTEMIS	
	objective of r	educing the ES	fragmentation ir	n today's indu	stry. As a first	
	step, a table or graph should be made which clearly shows which new					
Issue	technologies a	re used for whi	ich demonstrator.			
Due Date	2nd review					
Answer	A matrix that r	naps technolog	gies over demonst	rators is being	prepared	



Open issue #8 - CLOSED

Reference	Issue 8	Validity	2nd review	Status	closed
	Certification sup	port during a	levelopment i	is an importa	nt objective for
	nSHIELD. The p	project should	ל create a li	aison with t	he ARTEMIS-JU
	certification gro	up (Contact	follows from	n Antonio) a	nd include the
	findings into the	project work.	Certification s	should be an	explicit outcome
Issue	of nSHIELD.				
Due Date	2nd review				
Answer	The PROSE proj	ect is closed	(ended two	years ago).	Standardization
	mainly addresse	ed and less	certification.	No security	y/privacy topics
	addressed in the	certification f	ield.		
	ARTEMIS practice	e:			
	- Doing certific environment,	_	vironment (e	e.g. automat	ion, sustainable
	protocol certi	fication 802.1	tforms certific 5.4 for securit		network security totype
	Euromils FP7 pro	ject			
	Protection Profile	•			
	Ongoing certifica	ition procedui	e for UAV IQ E	Engine Kernel	



Open issue #9 - ONGOING

Reference	Issue 9	Validity	final review	Status	ongoing			
	Incremental cert	ification will b	be one of the	key cost reduc	tion factors in			
	future embedde	d systems. Sor	ne projects and	d groups are al	ready working			
	on this topic. Acc	cepting increm	ental certificat	tion needs som	ne "education"			
	of the National	l authorities.	nSHIELD sho	uld make cor	ntact to their			
Issue	respective Nation	nal authorities	and start disc	ussing this topi	c			
Due Date	Final review							
Answer	National conta	National contacts in norway established. Focuse is on global						
	infrastructure fo	r Oil and Gas	industry (ISC	15926)and	transport (ISO			
	26262)							



Open issue #10 - CLOSED

Reference	lssue 10	Validity	2nd review	Status	closed				
	The proposed de	The proposed demonstrators are of high interest. However, it is at the time							
	being not clear,	what will reall ^y	y be shown in	each demonst	rator, i.e. which				
	pieces of nSHIEL	D results will	form part of t	he respective	demonstrators.				
	The review tear	n would like t	to see either	one "ultimate	" demonstrator				
	which shows in r	eal hardware a	and software tl	he most signifi	cant results and				
	tools produced k	by nSHIELD. If	more than one	e demonstrato	r is chosen (e.g.				
	the UAV and the	e train), then	please clearly	indicate whic	h technology is				
	used in which de	emonstrator. I	deally, the sam	ne technology	should be used				
Issue	in more than one	e demonstrato	r.						
Due Date	2nd review								
Answer	A matrix that ma	ps technologie	es over demon	strators is bein	g prepared				



Open issue #11 - ONGOING

Reference	lssue 11	Validity	final review	Status	ongoing
	One risk for indu	stry acceptan	ce of nSHIELD	methods are e	xisting process
	standards, such	as Autosar,	IMA, which	n are very ha	rd to change.
	nSHIELD should	carefully st	udy the mo	st important	development
Issue	standards and co	mpare gaps/d	ifferences and	address them	accordingly.
Due Date	Final review				
Answer	Discussions with Upgradeable inf next years Goups in Autosta transport system project by TECN Project.	rastructure an ar and IMA w ns: Liaisons co	nd modularity orking on secuuld be establis	are the chall writy and safety shed by the e	enges for the , expecially in nd of nSHIELD



Open issue #12 - ONGOING

Reference	lssue 12	Validity	2nd review	Status	ongoing			
	nSHIELD should	start early to	build industr	ry acceptance.	"Measurable			
	security" and th	e compositio	n approach –	this must be	developed by			
	targeted measu	res at an ea	rly stage (as	part of the	dissemination			
	activities). For t	he compositic	on approach p	ay explicit att	ention to the			
	definition of int	lefinition of interfaces. Please include a section on this objective &						
Issue	results in the dis	semination pla	n					
Due Date	Finale review							
Answer	These topicsa re	hese topicsa re planned to be included in the dissemination plan						



Open issue #13 - CLOSED

Reference	lssue 13	Validity	2nd review	Status	closed
	During the 1st re	eview it was n	nentioned, tha	t Finmeccanica	is developing
	its own ES opera	ting system (\	which was late	r weakened to	"virtualization
	software"). The I	review team r	eminds the pro	oject that the in	ntroduction of
	a new OS/system	n software inte	o the ES marke	t is a major un	dertaking with
	a very high acce	ptance risk. If	nSHIELD wants	s to go this rou	ite, the review
Issue	team needs a go	od justificatio	n as part of the	e exploitation p	lan
Due Date	2nd review				
Answer	Misconception.	An internal c	heck within F	inmeccanica c	ompanies has
	been performed	: this OS Syste	em is a Linux d	istribution alre	ady deveoped
	and tailored for	Finmeccanica	(internal) purp	poses and is ou	It of scope for
	the nSHIELD proj	ect. No plan t	o push it on th	e market.	



Open issue #14 - CLOSED

Reference	lssue 14	Validity	2nd review	Status	closed
	The work packa	ages should	be technically	better coor	dinated: They
	sometimes worl	k on individu	al assumption	s and differe	nt models. A
	unified, accepted	d global pictur	e is missing. Su	uggestion: In a	nother project
	with similar chal	lenges, the p	roject managei	ment institute	d a "Technical
	Task Force (TEF)'	' which worke	ed as a horizon	tal coordination	on body for all
	work packages -	– with treme	ndous success	for the const	istency of the
Issue	project results!				
Due Date	2nd review				
Answer	Task force stablis	hed			



Open issue #15 - CLOSED

Reference	Issue 15	Validity	2nd review	Status	closed
	Thyia is not performing according to their tasks defined in the TA. Thyia's				
	effort in the reporting period is 0 MM. Their contribution to WP2 in the				
	reporting period was nil (the contribution had to be authored by Luigi				
lssue	Trono). The project needs to remedy this partner situation.				
Due Date	2nd review				
Answer	An agreement has been reached between THYIA, The consortium and the				
	Commision about its involvement in the prosecution of the project.				



Open issue #16 - CLOSED

Reference	lssue 16	Validity	2nd review	Status	closed	
	Rework D1.2 (Quality control guidelines) to really make it a binding					
lssue	process and metrics document and resubmit it for the 2nd review.					
Due Date	2nd review					
Answer	D1.2 re-worked according to the reviewers' indication and resubmitted					
	for the second review meeting					



Open issue #17 - TBD

Reference	lssue 17	Validity	all review	Status	TBD		
	The project has been asked to improve the financial reporting, shortening						
	the report and summarizing the figures in comprehensive tables and						
Issue	figures						
	To follow the reviewer suggestion in the preparation of the financial						
Action	report.						
Due Date	2nd review						
Answer	The comment is undestood and in principle agreed. The partners should						
	improve their efficiency in reporting activities; however some partners						
	(e.g. UNIROMA1) are obliged to provide reports plenty of details because						
	these reports are the mean adopted by National Evaluators to match						
	technical activities vs involved resources: lack of details could lead to						
	unsufficient justification of costs.						
	In any case the consortium would appreciate if ARTEMIS could provide an						
	example or a template of Financial reporting that is more in line with the						
	reviewer needs						



Open issue #3 - ONGOING

Reference	Issue 3	Validity	2nd review	Status	Ongoing			
					ASTS, MGEP,			
Owner	Task Force	Responsible	Andrea F.	Support	MAS			
	As already ment	As already mentioned in the recommendations from pSHIELD the project						
	lacks a sufficier	lacks a sufficient and consistent formal base (in the form of formal						
	models!). This i	models!). This is a major divergence risk for the project. The project						
	needs to agree	needs to agree on a few, basic, coordinated formal models which are						
Issue	binding for all w	binding for all work packages.						
	To define a formal model for some of the components presented in the							
	project, trying to	project, trying to adopt the methodology suggested by the reviewer, but						
Action	being much mor	being much more close to the metrics rationale.						
Due Date	2nd review							
Answer	An abstraction ontology has been defined, mainly based on the attack							
	surface metrics approach: it is expected to model in the most generic and							
	abstract way a SHIELD component.							
	In the prosecution of the demonstration activities formal models (e.g.							
	SySML or UML) will be adopted to describe th edemonstrators'							
	architecture.	architecture.						



Open issue #4 - CLOSED

Reference	Issue 4	Validity	2nd review	Status	closed		
			Renato B.				
Owner	SES/ TECNALIA	Responsible	Inaki E.	Support	-		
	A more formal and systematic framework is also needed for the SPD						
	metrics. At this point there are 60 types of SPD metrics. How to make						
	sure there are no security holes nor overlaps between metrics? How to						
Issue	add/remove metrics?						
	To provide clarification on the point raised by the reviewer. To provide a						
	methodology for metric composition.						
Action	Two methodologies currenty being taken into account						
Due Date	2nd review						
	Two systematic approaches have been conceived and detailed: the						
Answer	multimetrics approach and the attack surface approach						

