

UiO Separtment of Technology Systems University of Oslo

TEK5530 - Measurable Security for the Internet of Things

L14 Communication and Security in Current Industrial Automation

György Kálmán, ITS@UiO gyorgy.kalman@its.uio.no Josef Noll ITS@UiO j<u>osef.noll@its.uio.no</u>



http://loTSec.no , #loTSec, #loTSecNO

The Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences

Agenda

- Connected systems historical overview
- Current trends, concepts, pre and post Stuxnet
- Risks and threats in a connected automation system
- Security logical (cyber) and physical security. Relation to Safety
- Network security (defense-in-depth, restrictions, remote access)
- Hardening best practice
- Common effort
- Connection to office solutions
 - Managed security
- Current example: smart grid
 Outlook: Internet of Things

The Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences

Connected Systems

- Components
 - PLCs, controllers
 - End nodes: Sensors, actuators, drives
 - Workstations
 - Servers
 - Infrastructure components: switches, routers, firewalls
- Evolution from serial lines to connected plant
- Information aggregation creates value!
 - Connection to ERP, customers, suppliers etc.
 - Metrics, scheduling, history, maintenance, quality assurance



The Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences

Architecture Overview





Risks and Threats in a Connected Automation System

- Safety as reactive protection, security as preventive protection.
- Physical: theft, disasters, unauthorized access, sabotage
- Logical: Denial of Service, Management, worms and viruses, sabotage, access control, unintended actions
- Safety, risk and consequences in industrial systems
- Safety: freedom from unacceptable risk. Safety systems work against natural processes, not against e.g. sabotage
- Pre- and Post-Stuxnet: fall of the myth of the air gap
- Stuxnet: targeted attack on Siemens equipment: invalid operation envelope, results in catasthrophic failure of the equipment. Disables alarms.
- Should address both cyber and physical threats and include interfaces to non-automation related parts of the system.
- Mobile or temporary nodes
- More than just access control and communication security:
 - Tamper resistance
 - Intellectual property protection
 - Data confidentiality







The Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences

Security of a system

- A combination of network solution, software environment and applications used.
- Security is a process, not a one-time delivery
- Defense-in-depth: approach the full picture:
 - Device
 - Application
 - Computer
 - Network
 - Physical
 - Policies/Procedures/Management

Restrictions Remote access

Managing risk in industrial deployments

- Main goal of (industrial) security is to reduce risk
- To reach this goal, it can cooperate with other industrial solutions: redundancy in installations or safety systems.
- React on security breaches if possible, in cooperation with the automation equipment (safety)
- In this case, one can use also physical safety: burst disc, protective casing, automatic fire extinguisher, intrinsic safety, containment, plant or community emergency response etc.
- Common cause failures: interaction between safety and security
- Very similar tactics: separation, diversity, verification and validation



The Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences

Physical security

- Limit access to authorized personnel
- Physical security:
 - door, wall, fence, lock, protective casing
 - security guard,
 - Includes protection of communication channels (e.g.: cabling, but also USB ports).
- Procurement
- Destruction of used equipment



Network security

- Not a long history in industrial automation
- Most devices have no features for communication security
- Adaptation of office solutions to the industrial environment
 - Traffic composition -> mostly L2, some L3
 - Cost and openness
- Interesting connection point between the industrial applications and financial operations: data integrity, QoS and protection of devices.
- Problematic to have IDS/IPS down to control/field level
- Configuration and protection of ports (including physical)



The Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences

Hardening Best Practice

- Policies
 - Typical accept-all to allow coexistence, secured with configuring for the actual system -> hard to create a standard setup (will show a bit later, why this is not completely true)
- Access for third parties
- Computer management:
 - Patching
 - Antivirus: all suitable computers shall be scanned regularly. It is a question how to implement without impact to performance.
 - Policy for usage and software installation
 - Configuration of intefaces, physical security
- Access and Account management



Hardening Best Practice

- Security policy: standards compliance (IEC 62443, ISO 27000)
- Patch management and AV (centralized AV solution, own update server for patch mangagement)
- Default settings and hardening (OS setup, firewall, user settings, ports, intefaces, mobile storage)
- Access and account management (RBAC, password policy)
- Backup and recovery (disaster recovery strategy, also test)
- Plant network topology (security zones)
- Secure remote access
- Security monitoring and diagnostics (IDS/IPS, network management)
- Hardware and software inventory
- Application whitelisting
 - Validation: scan with e.g. Nmap, Tenable Nessus

The Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences

Communication setup

- Separate industrial network from office network
- (Mutually) don't trust third partner connections
- If needed, secure the communication path as far down towards the controller as possible. Typical for SCADA applications: VPN is only terminated inside the remote station or even only at the controller (depending on type).
- → Use network zones: create DMZ for data exchange, deny-all default policy for firewalls
- Use security functions in protocols where available
- Security shall not compromise network QoS
- Use secure protocols for network management
- Office-features are being introduced also in the automation domain: incldung smart switches, network management systems, patch management, traffic monitoring

Development direction: cut engineering costs: automatic configuration, mass configuration, use of templates

Access Control Lists

- Access Control Lists (ACLs) are commonly used for configuration of network equipment: the lists lead to easier and more consistent setup of devices.
- Can be applied on network equipment, servers and other nodes, which will all follow the (same) rules defined by the list.
- Key setting: if something is not defined in the ACL, then it will be denied.



Firewalls

- Office solutions are not directly applicable: different traffic requirements and traffic composition
- Stateful packet inspection: fast and can be effective in an industrial environment, sometimes the only automatic solution which can meet delay/latency/jitter requirements
- For larger installations: follow the same standard policy for all remote stations and use the same rule set as much as possible
- Allow communication directly between zones only if required.
- Set up security zones implement defense-in-depth (IEC 62443)
- Users shall not be able to access services, which are not necessary for the operation. Access to these can be granted through a less secure network.

Virtual Private Networks

- Historically most of the automation protocols ran on L2 (still today, mostly in the control and field networks)
- If one needed a shared setup, where e.g. the controller was in a different location than the actuators and sensors, the non-routeable protocols were a problem (earlier with leased lines this was not an imminent problem)
- VPN is a solution for an L2 protocol to be carried over an L3 network transparently
- On the other side, it can also provide integrity and confidentiality
- Cost press leads to use shared networks to convey information from automation sites: VPN is today a necessity.



Network Segmentation

- Segmentation of networks is by default required by the automation products (sometimes «weird» behavior and sensitivity)
- Separation of network traffic and shared infrastructure
- Routers and firewalls (including controllers) shall be configured with being aware, that L2 segmentation is not separating L3 traffic.
- Bad practice: but sometimes required because of configuration cloning:
 - Two electric substations having exactly the same L2/IP/server setup, only being different in the physical location, but connected to the same higher network
- Use VLANs for segregation of traffic and easier network management
- use IEEE 802.1X on the edge ports.

No direct communication between the office and the automation network -> DMZ between office and industrial.

The Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences

Computers

- Centralize management
- AV where required and possible
- Remove unnecessary file shares, services
- Disable physical interfaces not in use
- → Firewall, where QoS requirements allow. Deny all as default.
- Role Based Access Control recommended
- System management: central patching, no unauthorized software deployment, limit or disable the use of removable storage

The Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences

Controllers

- Adequate protection of communication: integrity, confidentialy on demand, change management, access control
- Availability is more important than confidentiality
- Physical security: protect interfaces and access to the actual device (local inteface always available, at least a DoS attack is possible
- Always change default username and password
- Protect the program, if possible enable firmware fingerprint checking
- Disable all unused features (including services and ports)
- Protect agains unintentional threats

The controller acts as a router/gateway between the control and field networks, configure accordingly

The Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences

Common Effort

- Best practice
 - <u>ABB</u>
 - Rockwell
 - Siemens
- Standardization
 - IEEE 1588 v3
 - IEC 61850
 - IEC 62443 (ISA-99) Industrial Automation and Control Systems Security
 - NIST 800-82 Industrial Control System Security
- ICS-CERT
- KraftCERT

Laws protecting the infrastructure and requiring actors to exchange security incident information

Comparison to office environments

- An automation system has a connection to the physical world.
- Loss of information or other QoS violation might lead to physical consequences
- Security focus is more spread: central elements like servers, controllers and sensors/actuators
- Availability: 4-5 sigma. Much less is expected in office.
- QoS: much more strict in automation, only finance has similar, jitter is especially critical
- Typical communication: person to person vs machine to machine
- Reaction to problems: wait/reboot/call -> minute-hour range in office compared to instant failover redundancy, fail-safe or fail-operational paradigm, repair in operation.
- More deterministic communication can make some office solutions more effective

Reactive security: Managed Security Services, continous vulnerability analysis

The Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences

Smart Grid example

- The power grid is a typical example for a SCADA operation
- Continentwide critical infrastructure
- Smart grid is expanding this infrastructure
- Smart meters introduce a device located in the home network, but also connected to the grid control
 - Physical security
 - Tampering
 - Secure communication channel
 - Maintenance
- Unusual attack vectors with one interface in the home, one at the utility
- Time synchronization is a challenge: heterogenous networks, problematic timing measurement in multihop wireless.

Balance between reliability and security, <u>ABB White Paper</u>

Internet of Things

- Still automation: M2M, QoS, predictable behavior, restricted resources
- Managed security and active defence solutions from office
- New market possibility for 5G mobile
- It is already here with consumer electronics
- Coming in SCADA, transportation, process control and healthcare
- ➡ SDN
- → Literature: <u>IoT overview</u>, <u>Ericsson</u>, <u>Accenture</u>



The Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences

Applicability of SDN to industrial automation

Cost press and manual configuration

Network Management System is typically not used

Examining the possibility to use SDN

- Single point of failure -> safety
- Reaction on security issues
- Mass configuration, as-built analysis, network management
- Provides utilization information
- Direct influence on forwarding



The Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences

Security engineering with SDN

- →Exploit the static traffic composition
- →Control of the forwarding plane
- Direct QoS evaluation
- ➡Enforcement of policies
- →Reuse of previous rulesets
- Documentation generation
- Figure from RedHat





The Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences

Conclusion

- Focus on the task to be fulfilled
- Drop-all / whitelisting approach
- Segmentation
- Mutually not trusting eachother
- Validation
- Future: reactive security, traffic monitoring, MSS

